

H05: Spinal Cord and Neck Trauma

Ryan Ackerman

Updated: December 08, 2020

Reviewed:

Introduction

Spinal cord injuries (SCI), while relatively rare, contribute significantly to morbidity and disability among those affected. Spinal motion restriction (SMR) must be undertaken on any patient who is at risk for SCI. Traditional SMR devices, such as cervical collars and rigid immobilization boards, carry risks of their own and should not be applied without a clinical indication to do so.

Contemporary care for potential SCI patients does not need to be an "all or nothing" approach, but instead should be patient centric. At all times the risks of applying SMR should be weighed against its benefits for each individual patient.

Cervical spine injuries are often the sole source of focus; attention must be paid to thoracic and lumbar injuries as well.

Essentials

- The mechanism of injury alone is not an accurate predictor of spinal column/cord injury.
- The NEXUS c-spine clearance tool may be used for adult patients.
- NEXUS only applies to cervical spine injuries. Thoraco-lumbar injuries must be assessed separately.
- Factors such as intoxication, altered levels of consciousness, language barriers, and major distracting injuries can all confound the assessment of spinal injuries.
- Only multi-trauma patients or those with new onset neurological impairment require transport on a clamshell stretcher.

Additional Treatment Information

- Known risks associated with SMR include: airway compromise, respiratory restriction, pressure ulcers, decreased cardiac output, vomiting/aspiration, increased intracranial pressure, pain, increased scene time and more complicated ER management.
- Elderly patients (age > 65) are at greater risk for spinal fractures from lower force injuries. Careful attention must be paid to thorough assessment with any trauma above the clavicles.
- Penetrating trauma requires rapid transport. SMR has been shown to increase mortality in these patients.
- Early and frequent focused neurological assessments (motor, sensation) may help monitor an evolving injury.
- Spinal cord injuries often require higher perfusion pressures to overcome swelling. Target a systolic BP of 120 mm/hg or greater in patients with clear signs of neurological deficit.

General Information

- NEXUS Criteria:
 1. Does the patient have midline tenderness of the cervical spine?
 2. Is the patient's level of consciousness altered? (Must be alert and oriented to time, person, place, and events.)
 3. Are there new focal neurological deficits?
 4. Is the patient intoxicated? (Judgement and pain sensation must be intact.)
 5. Is there a major distracting injury significant enough to interfere with their ability to assess pain response when palpating spine?
- If the answer to all five NEXUS questions is "no," SMR is not warranted.
- Thoracolumbar injuries: If the patient does not require SMR based on the NEXUS criteria but has any of the following findings do not sit the patient up or raise the head of the stretcher on the assumption that thoracic or lumbar injuries may be present:

- Fall from height > 3m
- Axial loading to head or base of spine
- High speed MVI >100 kph
- Rollover MVI
- New back deformity, bruising or bony midline tenderness

Interventions

First Responder

- Apply spinal motion restriction as clinically indicated
- Supplemental oxygen as required
 - → [A07: Oxygen and Medication Administration](#)

Primary Care Paramedic – All FR and EMR interventions, plus:

- Treat nausea/vomiting:
 - [DimenhyDRINATE](#)
- Correct hypo-perfusion/hypotension:
 - → [D03: Vascular Access and Fluid Administration](#)
 - For suspected or confirmed spinal cord injury target systolic BP of 120 mmHg or greater

Advanced Care Paramedic – All FR, EMR, and PCP interventions, plus:

- Secure airway if required.
 - → [PR18: Anesthesia Induction](#)

Critical Care Paramedic – All FR, EMR, PCP, and ACP interventions, plus:

- Manage hemodynamic instability:
 - MAP > 80-85 mmHg for isolated spinal cord injury.
 - In cases of distributive shock, early vasopressors may be required to maintain a higher than normal MAP to ensure spinal cord perfusion.
 - Crystalloid and/or vasopressor administration may be required.
 - [PhenyLEPHRine](#).
 - [DOPamine](#).
 - [NORepinephrine](#).
- If mechanical ventilation is required, refer to mechanical ventilation procedure guideline.
- Maintain appropriate blood glucose levels.
- Arterial or venous blood gas analysis:
 - Adjust mechanical ventilation to ensure adequate oxygenation, appropriate ventilation, and safe ground ventilating parameters.

Evidence Based Practice

[Spinal Injuries](#)

References

1. Abram S, et al. Routine spinal immobilization in trauma patients: What are the advantages and disadvantages? 2010. [\[Link\]](#)
2. Chan D, et al. The effect of spinal immobilization on healthy volunteers. 1994. [\[Link\]](#)

3. Connor D, et al. On behalf of the consensus group, Faculty of Pre-Hospital Care. Pre-hospital spinal immobilisation: An initial consensus statement. 2013. [\[Link\]](#)
4. Çorbacıoglu SK, et al. Effect of Spinal Immobilization with a Long Backboard and Cervical Collar on the Vital Signs. 2016. [\[Link\]](#)
5. Gonzalez RP, et al. Prehospital clinical clearance of the cervical spine: A prospective study. 2013. [\[Link\]](#)
6. Ham W, et al. Pressure ulcers from spinal immobilization in trauma patients: A systematic review. 2014. [\[Link\]](#)
7. Harrop JS, et al. The cause of neurologic deterioration after acute cervical spinal cord injury. 2001. [\[Link\]](#)
8. Hauswald M. A re-conceptualisation of acute spinal care. 2013. [\[Link\]](#)
9. Hauswald M, et al. Out-of-hospital spinal immobilization: Its effect on neurologic injury. 1998. [\[Link\]](#)
10. Haut ER, et al. Spine Immobilization in Penetrating Trauma: More Harm Than Good? 2010. [\[Link\]](#)
11. Kaups KL, et al. Patients with gunshot wounds to the head do not require cervical spine immobilization and evaluation. 1998. [\[Link\]](#)
12. Mays, Ben. "Is full pre-hospital spinal immobilisation best for the patient? A review of current controversies." 2016. [\[Link\]](#)
13. Michaleff ZA, et al. Accuracy of the Canadian C-spine rule and NEXUS to screen for clinically important cervical spine injury in patients following blunt trauma: a systematic review. 2012. [\[Link\]](#)
14. Mobbs RJ, et al. Effect of cervical hard collar on intracranial pressure after head injury. 2002. [\[Link\]](#)
15. Oto B, et al. Early secondary neurologic deterioration after blunt spinal trauma: A review of the literature. 2015. [\[Link\]](#)
16. Stuke LE, et al. Prehospital spine immobilization for penetrating trauma--review and recommendations from the Prehospital Trauma Life Support Executive Committee. 2011. [\[Link\]](#)
17. Sundstrøm T, et al. Prehospital Use of Cervical Collars in Trauma Patients: A Critical Review. 2014. [\[Link\]](#)
18. Totten VY, et al. Respiratory effects of spinal immobilization. 1999. [\[Link\]](#)
19. Tran J, et al. Prospective Validation of Modified NEXUS Cervical Spine Injury Criteria in Low-risk Elderly Fall Patients. 2016. [\[Link\]](#)

